



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/708,384	11/08/2000	James R. Hansen	11333-014001	2155
26161 7	7590 03/01/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110			VU, TH	ONG H
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ı
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/708,384	HANSEN, JAMES R.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
,	Thong H Vu	2142
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a req. If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty is will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON. te, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 I 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This assume This application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	·
Disposition of Claims		
4)	awn from consideration. nd 65-88 is/are rejected.	ne application.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to to dedicated or be drawing(s) be held in abeyant ction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/04;1/05.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2142

1. Claims 1-3,6-18,21-24,27-39,42-45,48-62,65-75 and new claims 76-88 are pending. Claims 4,5,19,20,25,26,40,41,46,47,63,64 are canceled.

Response to Amendment

2. Claims 1,15,22,36,43,59 and 68 have been amended. Therefore the Final action is appropriate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention (i.e.: determining if deviation ?).

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3,6-18,21-24,27-39,42-45,48-62,65-88 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-59 of U. S. Patent No. 6,757,714 B1 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/708,384

Art Unit: 2142

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

('714) 1. A method performed by a device associated with an apparatus to report a state of the apparatus to a remote computer that cannot directly address the device, the method comprising:

detecting the state of the apparatus, wherein detecting is performed by monitoring variables associated with the apparatus, the monitoring occurring independently of communications from the remote computer;

generating a message that reports the state of the apparatus using a self-describing computer language, wherein generating is performed periodically or in response to a deviation in the state;

sending the message to the remote computer; wherein the deviation is indicative of an error condition in the apparatus, and wherein the error condition comprises one or more variables that deviate from an acceptable value or a predetermined range of acceptable values.

(Application) 1.A method performed by a device associated with an apparatus to report a state of the apparatus to a remote computer that cannot directly address the device the method comprising:

detecting the state of the apparatus wherein detecting is performed by monitoring variables associated with the apparatus;

generating a message that reports the state of the apparatus using a self-describing computer language wherein generating is performed periodically or in response to a deviation in the state; and

sending the message to the remote computer wherein the deviation is indicative of an error condition in the apparatus and wherein the error condition comprises one or more variables that deviate from an acceptable value or a predetermined range of acceptable values.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Art Unit: 2142

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3,6-18,21-24,27-39,42-45,48-62,65-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Williams [6,292,828 B1] in view of Biffar [6,397,212 B1].
- 6. As per claim1, Williams discloses A method performed by a device associated with an apparatus to report a state of the apparatus to a remote computer [Williams, remote wireless network, abstract] that cannot directly address the device the method comprising:

detecting the state of the apparatus wherein detecting is performed by monitoring variables associated with the apparatus [Williams, monitoring devices, abstract; system monitoring mode, col 14 lines 1-38];

generating a message that reports the state of the apparatus (using a self-describing computer language) wherein generating is performed periodically or in response to a deviation in the state [Williams, specific alarm status, col 10 lines 1-67 et seq]; and

sending the message to the remote computer wherein the deviation is indicative of an error condition in the apparatus and wherein the error condition comprises one or more variables that deviate from an acceptable value or a predetermined range of

Application/Control Number: 09/708,384

Art Unit: 2142

acceptable values [Williams, deviation and acceptable levels, col 9 line 37 et seq; col 20 lines 1-12].

However Williams does not detail the apparatus using a self-describing language. A skilled artisan would have motivation to improve the software using on the mobile apparatus and found Biffar's teaching. Biffar discloses a self-learning search engine for the wireless device wherein the maximum deviation could be acceptable [Biffar, PDA, col 7 lines 19-30; XML, col 11 lines 3-12; deviation is acceptable, col 14 lines 5-27]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate

- 7. As per claim 2, Williams-Biffar disclose the message comprises an electronic mail message [Williams, Email, col 16 lines 30].
- 8. As per claim 3, Williams-Biffar disclose the message comprises a hypertext transfer protocol command [Biffar, PDA, col 7 lines 19-30; XML, col 11 lines 3-12].
- 9. As per claim 6, Williams-Biffar disclose detecting the state comprises receiving the state variables from the apparatus.

As per claim 7, Williams-Biffar disclose detecting the state comprises detecting the variables periodically from the apparatus.

Art Unit: 2142

10. As per claim 8, Williams-Biffar disclose detecting the state comprises: obtaining an identifier for the apparatus, and reading the variables state from the apparatus using the identifier.

- 11. As per claim 9, Williams-Biffar disclose determining the deviation [Williams, analyzed for adherence to deviation from acceptable levels, col 9 lines 65]
- 12. As per claim 10, Williams-Biffar disclose comparing the state to a previous state of the apparatus [Williams, comparing parameters, col 8 lines 43 et seq].
- 13. As per claim 11, Williams-Biffar disclose the self-describing computer language comprises extensible Markup Language (XML) [Biffar, PDA, col 7 lines 19-30; XML, col 11 lines 3-12].
- 14. As per claim 12, Williams-Biffar disclose the message is generated using a predefined template, the message being generated by:

obtaining one or more variables relating to the apparatus [Williams, variable modes, col 9 lines 19; col 10 lines 26]; and

inserting the one or more variables into the template [Williams, embedded features, col 13 lines 64 et seq].

Art Unit: 2142

15. As per claim 13, Williams-Biffar disclose the state of the apparatus is included as part of a body of the message [Williams, Email, col 16 lines 30].

- 16. As per claim 14, Williams-Biffar disclose the state of the apparatus is included as part of an attachment to the message [Williams, Email, col 16 lines 30].
- 17. As per claim 77, Williams-Biffar disclose the device is embedded in the apparatus [Williams, embedded features, col 13 lines 64 et seq].
- 18. As per claim 83, Williams-Biffar disclose the message includes past states of the apparatus [Williams, Email, col 16 lines 30].
- 19. As per claim 86, Williams-Biffar disclose the message includes a unique identifier that corresponds to the apparatus as inherent feature of message.
- 20. Claims 15-18,21-24,27-39,42-45,48-62,65-76,78-82,84-88 contain the similar limitations set forth claims 1-14,77,83,86. Therefore claims 15-18,21-24,27-39,42-45,48-62,65-76,78-82,84-88 are rejected for the same rationale set forth in claims 1-14,77,83,86.
- 21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2142

-USP 5,517,491 Nanni discloses the deviation control means detects and adjusts signal values being available or not available [Nanni, col 15 lines 35 et seq].

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thong Vu, whose telephone number is (571)-272-3904. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Jack Harvey*, can be reached at (571) 272-3896. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PMR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Vu
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2142